What's the best practice?

The best practice strategically links:

- Scoping (what resource attributes are important and should be analyzed?);
- Analysis (how do we determine the consequences of the project on specific resource attributes?); and
- Documentation (how do I explain the analysis in a manner that is useful and relevant for the decision-athand, and what the strengths and weaknesses of the analysis?)

This enables timely, cost effective, & defensible decisions



What are the scoping parts?

- Determine applicability
- Identify river decision-makers
- Deconstruct action & resource





Is the WSRA applicable?



Who's the germane decision-maker? The river-administering agency

- Name and location of affected river
- Location of the project
- Nature of the permit/authorization
- A description (deconstruction) of the proposed activity
- Relevant information (e.g. plans, maps, environmental studies)





How do we deconstruct?

The action:

- Preconstruction
- Construction
- Operation and maintenance
 The resource:

Outstandingly Remarkable Values



Deconstructing your action



Deconstructing the ORV



What are the analysis parts?

An environmental analysis (conducted independently for each resource) contains:

- an action-focused part
- a resource-focused part





What's the action-focused part?







What are types of interactions?

Downstream effects from induced growth

What are some examples of cause & effect pathways?





How is this efficient?



What's the resource-focused part?



What does "consequences" mean?

Location	Can't
Below the OHWM of a designated or congressionally-authorized study river corridor	Have " <i>direct and adverse effects</i> " to the values
Below the OHWM upstream, downstream or on a stream tributary to a designated river corridor	<i>"invade the area or unreasonably diminish the scenic, recreational, and fish and wildlife values present in the area"</i>
Below the OHWM upstream, downstream or on a stream tributary to a congressionally- authorized study river corridor	<i>"invade the area or diminish the scenic, recreational, and fish and wildlife values present in the area"</i>

If the river-administering agency makes one of these determinations, federal assistance cannot be granted



In other words...

For projects within the bed/banks of a designated river corridor or a congressionally-authorized study river corridor



How does PD/NRI compliance flow?

nps.gov/ncrc/programs/rtca/nri/consult.html



Questions?

"...I recognized the important natural, historic, and recreational values of our Nation's river corridors. It is important for the federal agencies to set an example of sound management for state, local, and private landowners by taking an aggressive role in protecting Wild and Scenic Rivers which flow through public lands..."

--President Jimmy Carter upon issuing the Presidential Directive



